This article was downloaded by: On: 19 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37- 41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



To cite this Article Sekerka, I. and Lechner, J. F.(1982) 'Potentiometric Determination of Organohalides in Natural Water Using Tenax Adsorption and Combustion', International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry,  $11: 1, 43 - 52$ To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/03067318208071560 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03067318208071560>

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use:<http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or<br>systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

*Intern. J. Enoiron. Anal. Chem.,* **1982, Vol. 11, pp. 43-52 0306-7319/82/1101-0043/\$06.50/0**  *0* **Gordon and Breach Science Publishers Inc., 1982 Printed in Great Britain** 

# **Potentiometric Determination**  af **Organohalides in Natural Water Using Tenax Adsorption and Combustion**

**I. SEKERKA and** J. **F. LECHNER** 

*Analytical Methods Division, National Water Research Institute, Canada Centre for Inland Waters, PO Box 5050, 867 Lakeshore Road Burlington, Ontario. Canada L7R 4A6* 

*(Receioed May 15, 1981; infinal form August 10, 1981)* 

The method described in this paper involves adsorption of organohalides from natural water samples on porous polymer Tenax *GC* followed by thermal desorption at **400°C** and oxidative combustion to hydrogen halides. The halides are captured in water solution containing formaldehyde and sulfamic acid and measured either by direct potentiometry with high sensitive chloride ion selective electrode or by potentiometric titration with  $Hg^{2+}$  titrant solution. The efficiencies of the adsorption, combustion and detection as well as elimination of interferences are presented. Analysis time after adsorption is rapid (2 min) and procedures are simple, making the technique suitable for routine application.

KEY WORDS Organohalides, water, adsorption, combustion, detection.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Halogenated organocompounds are of considerable interest in the environmental field research because they are suspected to cause adverse health problems.<sup>1, 2</sup> Their presence and origin are well Current methods of analysis identify groups of organohalides such as volatile<sup>9, 10</sup> and non-polar compounds. Recently the analysis for total organohalides as a group parameter has been recognized as an indicator of water quality because it includes in the measurement the amount of potentially harmful compounds.<sup>12, 13</sup> The first step in the analysis for trace organohalides in water is their separation and preconcentration. Several methods have been reported using the adsorption of organic compounds onto ground, granular activated carbon.<sup>14-16</sup> Another

method is the mini-column method employing Amberlite XAD resin as the sorbent.<sup>13</sup> Solvent extraction has also been suggested for the separation and preconcentration step.<sup>17</sup> The efficiencies of liquid-liquid extraction, carbon and XAD resin have been evaluated by Janarden *et*   $al.^{18-19}$  and by Chriswell *et al.*.<sup>20</sup> The extraction of trace amounts of organic compounds from water has been reviewed by Dressler. $2<sup>1</sup>$ Published data seem to favour the extraction with porous organic polymers over the other techniques.

The second step involved in the method is the desorption of the compounds from the sorbent. This can be performed either with a liquid or by heating. Liquid desorption with a number of elements has been applied mostly in combination with chromatographic detection of organic compounds. $22$  In desorption, the compounds are released by the action of heat and these are transported by the carrier gas into the converter and/or detector. The desorption temperature must be high enough to allow all compounds of interest to be transferred into the gaseous phase. The maximal desorption temperature is determined by the thermal stability of the sorbent. Tenax **GC** porous polymer was found to be stable up to 400°C whereas Amberlite XAD-2 only was acceptable to 275°C.<sup>22</sup> The drawback of activated carbon is that due to the high desorption temperature some inorganic halides are detected and the column cartridges have to be treated by a nitrate wash to displace inorganic chloride. Since freshly ground activated carbon contains some halogens that are not removable, a blank determination is necessary.<sup>23</sup>

The third step of the total organohalides analysis is their conversion into **a** measurable form. The pyrolytic conversion of organohalides to simple halides has been reviewed by Debal and Levy.<sup>24</sup> Further development resulted in a relatively standard procedure in which the sample is vapourized in the stream of an inert carrier gas and is joined by oxygen in a combustion zone held over 800°C. The resulting gases are swept into a detecting system.

The last step is the detection of the halides. Generally, potentiometric or coulometric techniques in different variations have been applied. Kriggsman *et uL2'* have described **a** semi-automatic argentometric preselected end-potential titration monitored by an Ag<sub>2</sub>S ion-selective electrode. Potman and Sahmen<sup>28</sup> modified this method by using  $Hg^{2+}$  as titrant. A microcoulometric method based on argentometry has been described.<sup>12, 25</sup>

Based on the above information, Tenax **GC** resin as the sorbent, thermal desorption in the carrier gas stream, combination in an oxygen rich atmosphere and direct potentiometric detection were selected, tested and optimized.

# **EXPERIMENTAL**

## **Reagents**

All chemicals used were of analytical-reagent grade. The stock solutions, prepared by weight, were standardized by appropriate standard analytical methods. The water used in this study was distilled from a potassium permanganate-sodium hydroxide solution and passed through a column packed with Tenax **GC** in order to remove residual trace organics. Absorption solution contained 1 ml of formaldehyde  $(38\%)$  and 0.1 g of sulfamic acid in 100ml of water and was made fresh each day.

#### **Apparatus**

Resin cartridges made of quartz with quartz fibre filter plugs on both ends were packed with Tenax GC 35/60 mesh (Enka, N.V., Holland) and heated to 400°C in the stream of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  gas for 20 min to remove possible contaminants. Model studies were performed using gravity flow from a 101 reservoir. Stock solutions of model compounds were prepared in acetone and diluted with water so that the aqueous solutions contained  $100 \mu g/l$  of chloride or bromide. At the end of the sampling period the cartridge was connected to the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  line for 30 min to remove trapped water. **A** Dohrman MO S-200 pyrolysis furnace with three independently heated zones was used for the oxidative combustion. The furnace was equipped with a quartz combustion tube connected to a water-cooled absorption vessel. Figure 1 shows a scheme of the apparatus used for del studies were performed using gravity flow fick solutions of model compounds were prepared with water so that the aqueous solutions cone e or bromide. At the end of the sampling perice nected to the CO<sub>2</sub> line for 30 m



**FIGURE 1 Pyrolytic tubes with resin cartridge used for adsorption, thermal desorption and combustion.** 

desorption and combustion. The water-cooled absorption vessel shown in Figure 2 was equipped with a combination high sensitive chloride ionselective electrode.<sup>29</sup> The gases from the combustion tube were absorbed in 5ml of the absorption solution. The electrode exhibited practical



**FIGURE 2 Absorption vessel used for absorption of the combustion products and measurement of halides.** 

detection limit of 20 ppb  $Cl^-$  in the absorbing solution. The detection limit of the system for samples depends on the volume of the sample passed through the Tenax cartridge. Assuming a 1000ml sample and 5.0ml volume of the absorbing solution, the detection limit in the sample is  $0.1$  ppb Cl<sup>-</sup>. A Radiometer PHM64 pH meter in conjunction with TTT60 titrate, ABU12 autoburette and REA160 recording system was used for direct potentiometric measurement as well as for preselected end-point and stepped end-point titration<sup>30</sup> of halide ions.

#### **Procedure**

The water sample is passed through the resin cartridge at the rate of 10ml/min. After the desired volume of sample is eluted, most of the residual water is removed in the stream of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (30 min) and the cartridge is inserted into the desorption inlet zone of the furnace heated to 400°C. Released and vapourized organics are swept by a continuous stream of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (100 ml/min) into a combustion zone held at 850°C where they are combusted in an oxygen enriched atmosphere (100 ml/min). The gases then flow into the absorption vessel where the halides are captured and determined. After the potential of the chloride-ion electrode reaches a stable reading, the concentration of halide ions is determined by comparing with a previously prepared calibration graph. Alternatively, a preselected end-point titration system automatically balances the changes of potential by adding the  $Hg^{2+}$  titrant solution and the concentration of halides is calculated from the volume of added solution.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **Performance of the Detection System**

Two versions of the detection of halide ion were selected and tested. Both employed a highly sensitive chloride ion electrode operated either in a direct potentiometry mode or in a preselected end-point potentiometric titration mode, using  $Hg^{2+}$  titrant solution. The performances of the systems were tested by measuring known concentrations of  $Cl<sup>-</sup>$  ion directly in the absorption vessel.For practical purposes, the chloride ion electrode exhibited a detection limit of  $20$  ppb of  $Cl^-$  in the absorption solution. The precision expressed as relative standard deviation was better than  $5\%$ . The detection limit of the mercurimetric titration with 1  $\times 10^{-4}$  M Hg (NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> solution was 80 ppb of Cl<sup>-</sup> in 5 ml and the relative standard deviation was  $4\%$ . The advantage of direct potentiometry is sensitivity and simplicity of the instrumentation. The disadvantage is that the volume of the absorption solution must be accurately measured and replaced for each measurement and the electrode requires frequent recalibration. Furthermore, the electrode did not perform reproducibly in the solutions containing lower than 10:1 ratio of  $Cl^-$  to  $Br^-$  ion. The titration method is less sensitive but more precise. Several determinations can be made in the same absorption solution and its volume is not important. There is no calibration involved and mutual interference of chloride and bromide is negligible. The attempts to determine low levels of bromide and chloride in admixture by consecutive stepped end-point titration were not successful.

# **Efficiency of the Oxidative Combustion**

In order to test the efficiency of the oxidative combustion of organohalide compounds to measurable halide ions, the acetone solutions of several model compounds were slowly injected into the 400°C zone of the furnace

# 48 I. SEKERKA AND J. F. LECHNER

and the produced halide ions were titrated in the absorption vessel. Preliminary experiments showed that the recovery of chloroform was not affected by the temperature of the combustion zone varying from 800 to 1000°C nor by varying the flow rate of CO<sub>2</sub> (50 to 200 ml/min) and  $O_2$ (50 to 100ml/min). Therefore, all experiments were conducted under the following conditions: 400°C temperature of the inlet zone; 850°C temperature of the combustion zone; 100ml/min *CO,* flow rate and  $100 \text{ ml/min } O_2$  flow rate. Results of recovery and precision are presented in Table **I.** 

	$Cl(Br)$ Taken	Mean $(n=5)$ Cl(Br) Found	$S_r$
Compound	$(\mu g)$	$(\mu g)$	$(\%)$
Carbontetrachloride	1.2	1.0	5
	12.0	11.0	$\overline{c}$
Trichloromethane	1.7	1.4	4
	17.0	16.0	$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$
Chloroacetone	0.9	0.7	8
	9.0	9.0	5
Chlorobenzene	1.4	1.3	6
	14.0	12.0	3
Trichlorobenzene	1.5	1.3	3
	15.0	14.0	$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$
Pentachlorophenol	1.8	1,5	5
	18.0	15.0	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$
<b>DDT</b>	0.8	0.6	10
	8.0	6.0 6	
Atrazine	1.2	1.1	8
	12.0	10.0	5
Aldrin	1.3	1.1	5
	13.0	12.0	$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$
Trichloroacetic acid	0.6	0.6	10
	6.0	5.0	6
Tribromomethane	1.6	1.4	4
	16.0	15.0	$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$
Bromobenzene	1.7	1.5	6
	17.0	15.0	5
Bromophenol	1.1	1.0	9
	11.0	9.0	5
Tribromophenol	1.1	1.0	$\overline{7}$
	11.0	9.0	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$
Bromobenzoic acid	0.7	0.5	11
	7.0	5.0	7

TABLE I  $E$ fficiency of the oxidative combustion

#### **Efficiency of Adsorption and Desorption**

The efficiency of adsorption and thermal desorption (total efficiency) was established by analyzing the synthetic samples of water containing known amounts of organohalides. Recoveries of ten model compounds as shown in Table **I1** averaged 80% at 1.0ppb levels of chlorine or bromine in the sample. Relative standard deviations were in the range of  $5\%$  at 1.0 ppb levels.

Compound	$Cl(Br)$ Taken $(\mu$ g)	Mean $(n=5)$ Cl(Br) Found $(\mu$ g)	S, $(\%)$		
Carbontetrachloride	1.4	1.2	6		
Trichloromethane	1.3	1.1			
Chlorobenzene	1.4	1.1	4		
Trichloroacetate	0.6	0.4	8		
Pentachlorophenol	1.8	1.4	6		
<b>DDT</b>	1.6	1.3			
Aldrin	2.6	2.2	3		
Bromobenzene	1.7	1.6			
<b>Bromophenol</b>	2.2	2.0			
Bromobenzoate	3.5	3.3	3		

TABLE I1 Efficiency of the determination

# **Interferences**

The oxidative combustion of organosulfur and organonitrogen compounds produces ionic species  $(SO_3^2, S^2, NO_x, CN^-)$  which interfere with the response of the chloride electrode as well as the mercurimetric titration. To eliminate these interferences the absorption solution contains formaldehyde (1 ml of  $38\%$  solution) and 0.1 g of sulfamic acid in 100 ml of water. $31$  This solution, besides eliminating the interferences from sulfur and nitrogen, adjusts the pH and total ionic strength required for optimal performance of the electrode. Table **I11** shows the results of the determination of carbontetrachloride in the presence of sulfur (carbondisulfide) and nitrogen (cyclohexylamine). No significant interference was observed.

One of the problems in organohalide analysis is to ensure that inorganic halides do not interfere. Data on the determination of carbontetrachloride in the presence of inorganic chloride as presented in Table **IV** show insignificant interference. Free chlorine present in disinfected water interferes and has to be reduced prior to the analysis.





**TABLE IV Determination of carbontetrachloride in presence** of **inorganic chloride** 

Inorganic Cl Taken $(\mu$ g)	Organic Cl Taken $(\mu$ g)	Organic Cl Found $(\mu g)$	Recovery $\binom{9}{0}$
	1.2	1.0	83
	12.0	11.0	92
0.4	1.2	1.1	92
3.5	1.2	1.0	83
35.4	1.2	1.2	100
354.0	1.2	1.3	108
0.4	12.0	11.0	92
3.5	12.0	13.0	108
35.4	12.0	13.0	108
354.0	12.0	13.2	110

Five, three-litre Lake Ontario water samples were taken for analysis by the proposed method. The samples were divided into three, one-litre aliquots. The first aliquots was analyzed for organohalides without any treatment, whereas aliquots two and three were spiked with a known amount of trichloromethane prior to the analysis. Results are summarized in table V.

Sample No.	Cl Taken $(\mu g/l)$	Cl Found $(\mu g/l)$	Recovery $(\% )$
1	0.0	68.0	
	13.0	75.0	93
	130.0	187.0	94
$\overline{2}$	0.0	27.0	
	13.0	35.0	88
	26.0	48.0	91
3	0.0	41.0	
	13.0	50.0	93
	65.0	91.0	86
$\overline{\bf{4}}$	0.0	52.0	
	26.0	61.0	78
	130.0	159.0	87
5	0.0	91.0	
	52.0	129.0	90
	130.0	191.0	86

TABLE **V**  Determination of organochlorine in lake-water

# **CONCLUSION**

Analytical procedures involved are simple and rapid. The required instrumentation is relatively inexpensive and easy to operate. Sulfun and nitrogen interferences are eliminated. The sensitivity, recovery and precision compare well with other techniques. The described method is suitable for routine analysis of Tenax adsorbable organohalides in natural water. Proper selection of the sample volume allows the application of the method over wide range of concentration

#### **Sornmaire**

La faible concentration d'organo-halogénures prévue dans les études environnementales nécessite la création de méthodes analytiques permettant la détection de ces composés jusqu'aux niveaux inférieurs aux parties par milliard. La méthode décrite dans la présente étude a recours à l'absorption des organo-halogénures par un polymère poreux de marque Tenax GC, suivie de désorption thermique à 400° et de conversion pyrolitique en halogénures d'hydrogène. Les halogénures sont captés dans une solution aqueuse contenant du formaldéhyde et de l'acide sulfamique. Ils sont ensuite doses, soit par potentiometrie directe comportant une tlectrode fortement sensible aux ions chlore, soit par titrage potentiométrique en utilisant une solution titrée au moyen de  $Hg^{2+}$ . On présente dans cette étude l'efficacité de l'absorption, de la combustion et de la détection ainsi que l'élimination des interférences. Le temps d'analyse est rapide (2 min.) et les méthodes sont simples. Il s'ensuit que cette technique se prête bien aux applications courantes.

#### **References**

- 1. Drinking Water and Health (Recommendation of the National Academy of Sciences), Federal Register, **42,** 357-364 (1977).
- 2. D. T. Williams, R. Otson and P. D. Bothwell in *Hydrocarbons and Halogenate Hydrocarbons,* B. K. Afghan and D. Mackay, Eds. (New York: Plenum Press, 1980) pp. 503-512.
- 3. J. M. Symons, T. A. Bellar, J. K. Carswell, J. DeMarco, K. L. Kropp, G. G. Robeck, D. R. Seeger, C. J. Slocum, B. L. Smith and A. A. Stevens, J. *Am. Water Works Assoc.* **7,**  634 (1975).
- 4. J. J. Rook, *Water Treat. Exam.* **23,** 234 (1974).
- 5. J. J. Rook, J. *Am. Water Works Assoc. 68,* 168 (1976).
- 6. S. A. Hubbs, J. S. Zogorski, D. A. Wilding, N. A. Ambuele, G. **S.** Allgeier and R. L. Mullins in *Water Chlorination: Enuironrnental Impact and Health Effects,* R. L. Jolley, H. Gorchev and D. H. Hamilton, Eds. (Ann Arbor, Science Publishers, 1977) vol. 2, pp. 605–611.
- 7. B. G. Oliver, *Can.* Res. **11,** 21 (1978).
- 8. B. G. Oliver and J. Lawrence, J. *Am. Water Works Assoc.* **71,** 161 (1979).
- 9. T. Bellar and J. Lichtenberg, *J.* Am. *Water Works Assoc. 66,* 734 (1974).
- 10. K. Grob and F. Zurcher, J. *Chromatogr.* **117,** 285 (1976).
- 11. R. C. Dressman and A. Stevens, J. *Am. Water Works Assoc.* **71,** 392 (1978).
- 12. R. C. Dressman, B. A. Najar and R. Redzikowski, Am. Water Works Assoc. Technology Conf. Proceedings, 3A-5 (1977).
- 13. W. Glaze, G. Peyton and R. Rawley, *Enuiron. Sci. Technol.* **11,** 685 (1977).
- 14. W. Kuhn, H. Sontheimer, *Vom Wasser,* **15,** 65 (1973).
- 15. W. Wuhn, H. Sontheimer, *Vim Wasser,* **41,** 1 (1975).
- 16. R. C. Dressman, E. F. McFarren and J. M. Symons, Am. Water Works Assoc. Technology Proceedings, AWWA Denver (1977).
- 17. R. Wegman and P. Greve, *?he Science* of *Total Environment,* **7,** 235 (1977).
- 18. K. G. Janardan, D. J. Schaeffer and S. M. Somani, *Bull. Enuiron. Contam. Toxicol.* **24,**  154 (1980).
- 19. K. G. Janardan and D. J. Schaeffer, *Anal. Chem.* **51,** 1024 (1979).
- 20. C. D. Chriswell, R. L. Ericson, G. A. Junk, K. W. Lee, Z. S. Fritz and H. J. Svec, *J.* Am. *Water Works Assoc. 69,* 669 (1977).
- 21. M. Dressler, J. *Chrornatogr.* **165,** 167 (1979).
- 22. W. E. May, S. N. Chesler, S. P. Cram, B. H. Gump, H. S. Hertz, D. P. Enagonio and S. M. Syszel, J. *Chromatogr. Sci.* **13,** 40 (1975).
- 23. M. R. Jebel and P. V. Roberts, *Enuiron. Sci. Technol.* **14,** 970 (1980).
- 24. E. Debal and R. LBvy, *Michrochim. Acta,* **2,** 272 (1964).
- 25. D. M. Coulson and L. A. Cavanagh, *Anal. Chem.* **32,** 1245 (1980).
- 26. W. Ladrack, F. Van De Craats and P. Gouverneur, *Anal. Chim. Acta, 50,* 219 (1970).
- 27. W. Krijgsman, B. Grieping, J. F. Mansveld and W. J. Van Ort, *Microchim. Acta,* 795 (1970).
- 28. W. Potman and E. A. M. F. Dahmen, *Microchim. Acta* 303 (1972).
- 29. J. F. Lechner and I. Sekerka, J. *Electroanal. Chem.* **57,** 317 (1974).
- 30. Radiometer Operating Instruction, 982-587, 402A, Copenhagen (1978).
- 31. D. D. Perrin, *Masking and Demusking* of *Chemical Reactions,* (Why-Interscience, New York, 1970), Chap. 3, p. 45.